Topical Glaucoma Treatment and the Cornea

Alternative strategies may benefit patients with a compromised ocular surface.

BY ADAM C. REYNOLDS, MD

During the past decade, clinicians’ attention to the effect of topical medical glaucoma treatments on corneal and ocular surface health has grown. Practitioners have generally moved away from using pilocarpine and epinephrine derivatives due to their well-known association with ocular surface complications. Current categories of topical agents, namely prostaglandin analogues, β-blockers, α-agonists, and topical carbonic anhydrase inhibitors (CAIs), are better tolerated, but prolonged exposure to these drugs produces long-term side effects and a compromised quality of life. Additionally, the preservatives used in these topical agents, especially benzalkonium chloride (BAK), affect the health of the ocular surface.

ENDOTHELIAL CELL FUNCTION AND CAIs

Endothelial cell function depends on carbonic anhydrase enzymatic action to maintain corneal clarity. Many studies of eyes with a normal corneal endothelium have discredited concerns that topical CAIs compromise endothelial function.1,2 In patients with endothelial cell dysfunction due to Fuchs corneal dystrophy, surgically induced endothelial failure, or post penetrating keratoplasty, however, the use of topical CAIs can permanently compromise endothelial function.3,4 For patients with the potential for further compromise of previous underlying corneal endothelial disease, I would recommend considering alternatives to topical CAIs. If CAIs must be used for glaucoma management in these individuals, then it is important to monitor corneal thickness, specular endothelial cell studies, and other parameters closely.

SPECIFIC CORNEAL TOXICITY AND OCULAR SURFACE DISEASE

The deleterious effects of topical glaucoma treatment on the cornea, ocular surface, and tear film and the resultant ocular discomfort and visual compromise have been well documented. In vitro research has demonstrated the toxic effects of BAK used in many preparations,5 yet clinicians tend to underestimate the harmful effects of topical glaucoma medications.6 Fechtner and colleagues reported that Ocular Surface Disease Index scores are significantly decreased in 50% of patients using topical glaucoma medications, and the scores are affected by the number of medications used.7 Particularly troubling is how significant, and probably underestimated, the effect of ocular surface disease (OSD) symptoms is on patients’ adherence to glaucoma management.8 Patients with glaucoma tend to be older and have OSD that is almost always worsened to some degree by topical glaucoma therapy.9,10

ALTERNATIVE STRATEGIES

The easiest way to decrease the negative effect of glaucoma therapy on the ocular surface is to minimize the number of medications used. I recommend stopping medications while keeping safety of IOP levels in mind to be sure of the drugs’ IOP-lowering effect. This should be done especially when the IOP-lowering ability of an agent is in doubt historically in a specific patient. Many of the different classes of topical medications are now available in fixed combinations, which may reduce preservative loads on the cornea and decrease toxicity. The benefits of fixed-combination drugs must be weighed against the usual increased out-of-pocket cost to the
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